

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,629	05/15/2002	Henning Schumacher	BKS-0002	2956	
7	590 08/06/2003				
David A Cherry Woodcock Washburn Kurtz Mackiewicz & Norris 46th Floor			EXAMINER		
			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L		
One Liberty Pla Philadelphia, P	e Liberty Place ladelphia, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1 macipina, 1			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				MK			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/913,629	SCHUMACHER, HENNING				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leigh McKane	1744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover shet with the	correspondence address				
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror y, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		— iis action is non-final.					
3)□	, 						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $1-6$ is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	т.					
10) 🔲 🧻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
* S	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		e			
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).			
)						
Attachment	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/913,629

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 provides for the use of invert soaps for disinfecting, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,629

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Objections

4. Claims 4-6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim shall refer to another claim in the alternative only (claims 4 and 5) and because a multiple dependent claim shall not depend upon another multiple dependent claim (claim 6). See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ajoku et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,656,670).

Ajoku et al teaches the use of N-decyl-N-isononyl-N,N-dimethyl ammonium chloride, an invert soap, for disinfecting and cleaning surfaces and devices. See Abstract and col.2, lines 15 and 34-35.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 3

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,547,990).

Hall et al teaches use of decylisononyldimethylammonium chloride as a hard surface disinfectant cleaner. See col.3, lines 3-5 and 65-66. Although Hall et al does not expressly teach the treatment of devices, the disclosed use of the disinfectant/cleaner in household, industrial, and institutional environments would have lent it to be used on various devices and thus, such an use would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Eastman et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,290,805) teaches decylisononyldimethyl ammonium compounds in water treatment methods. Parker et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,425,815) discloses cleaning and disinfecting endoscopes with quaternary ammonium compounds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 703-305-3387. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (7:15 am-4:45 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Leigh McKane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

elm August 4, 2003